#1

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Monday, July 21, 2025 2:34:05 AM
Last Modified:	Monday, July 21, 2025 4:44:39 AM
Time Spent:	02:10:34
IP Address:	197.241.86.19

Page 2: General Data of the Small Grants Programme

Q1

Name of SGP Country Programme

	SGP Country Programme
Please select your SGP Country Programme	**Djibouti**

Q2

Please provide information on GEF-funded SGP OP6 projects committed during the reporting period. (Please enter 0 if none. Enter integer only. Do not enter letters, commas, spaces, periods, or any other symbol.)

Total number of new GEF CORE OP6 projects committed in the reporting period	**0**
Total amount of GEF CORE OP6 funding committed (in USD)	**0**
Total number of new GEF STAR OP6 projects committed in the reporting period	**0**
Total amount of GEF STAR OP6 funding committed (in USD)	**0**
Total amount of OP6 project level in kind co-financing (in USD)	**0**
Total amount of OP6 project level in cash co-financing (in USD)	**0**

Q3

Please provide information on new GEF-funded SGP OP7 projects committed during the reporting period. (Please enter 0 if none. Enter integer only. Do not enter letters, commas, spaces, periods, or any other symbol.)

Total number of new GEF CORE OP7 projects committed in the reporting period	**10**
Total amount of GEF CORE OP7 funding committed (in USD)	**236643**
Total number of new GEF STAR OP7 projects committed in the reporting period	**0**
Total amount of GEF STAR OP7 funding committed (in USD)	**0**
Total amount of OP7 project level in kind co-financing (in USD)	**185679**
Total amount of OP7 project level in cash co-financing (in USD)	**40486**

Q4

Number of SGP GEF-funded projects under implementation during the reporting period July 2024 to June 2025 (including all operational phase ongoing projects). Please enter 0 if none.

Number of projects under implementation	**0**

Q5

Country-level Resource Mobilization: Please provide information on NEW country programme level co-financing secured and delivered through SGP (e.g. where an agreement has been signed, memorandum agreed, project document signed, etc. for grant funds and/or non-grant activities).Note: Do not enter information of GEF funding such as STAR and Core. Do not enter information on project level co-financing, as this is already or will be accounted for by the database. Do not enter any global programme level co-financing such as COMDEKS, CBA, ICCA-GSI, DFAT, etc. as this is already accounted for by CPMT. Enter only numbers; do not enter letters, commas, spaces, periods, or any other symbol.

Name of Donor and Partnership 1	**0**
Total amount allocated to SGP by Donor/Partnership 1 (in USD)	**0**
Grant funds provided by Donor/Partnership 1 (in USD)	**0**
Non-grant funds provided by Donor/Partnership 1 (in USD)	**0**
Name of Donor and Partnership 2	**0**
Total amount allocated to SGP by Donor/Partnership 2 (in USD)	**0**
Grant funds provided by Donor/Partnership 2 (in USD)	**0**
Non-grant funds provided by Donor/Partnership 2 (in USD)	**0**
Name of Donor and Partnership 3	**0**
Total amount allocated to SGP by Donor/Partnership 3 (in USD)	**0**
Grant funds provided by Donor/Partnership 3 (in USD)	**0**
Non-grant funds provided by Donor/Partnership 3 (in USD)	**0**
Name of Donor and Partnership 4	**0**
Total amount allocated to SGP by Donor/Partnership 4 (in USD)	**0**
Grant funds provided by Donor/Partnership 4 (in USD)	**0**
Non-grant funds provided by Donor/Partnership 4 (in USD)	**0**

Q6

SGP as Delivery Mechanism: Please describe key results achieved from any ONGOING donor/partner-funded programmers for which SGP has served as a delivery mechanism. (Please enter 0 if none)Note: Please include results from country-level partnerships ONLY (e.g. TRAC funded, other GEF funded projects/programmes). Kindly do NOT include results from global level partnerships, such as COMDEKS, CBA, ICCA-GSI etc.

Non-GEF-Funded Programmes (200 words)	**0**
GEF-Funded Programmes (Examples: FSPs, MSPs, other) (200 words)	**0**

Page 3: Results achieved by GEF-funded projects on Core Global Environmental Indicators

Q7

Core Global Environmental Indicators: Please report on the following Core Indicators that SGP aligned to under GEF-7. (Please enter 0 if none. Enter integer only. Do not enter letters, commas, spaces, periods, or any other symbol.)Note: With SGP's rolling modality, please note all GEF projects completed during the reporting period (July 1st, 2024- June 30th, 2025) can be reported towards core indicator results. It is important to note that the indicators are NOT specific to OP7 projects only. Please note only "direct results due to SGP project intervention" are to be noted under core indicators.

Marine protected areas under improved management effectiveness (hectares)	**4**
Area of degraded agricultural lands restored (hectares)	**0**
Area of landscapes under improved management to benefit biodiversity (hectares)	**0**
Area of landscapes under sustainable land management in production systems (hectares)	**0**
Area of marine habitat under improved practices to benefit biodiversity (hectares; excluding protected areas)	**0**
Solid and liquid Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) and POPs containing materials and products removed or disposed (tons)	**0**
Number of direct beneficiaries as co-benefit of SGP intervention	**0**
Number of females	**3**
Number of males	**6**

Page 4: Results achieved by GEF-funded projects on Biodiversity

Q8

Biodiversity: Total number of GEF projects completed. (Enter 0 if none.)

Biodiversity, OP6	**0**
Biodiversity, OP7	**1**

Q9

Biodiversity: Please describe the results of one or two projects that were completed during the reporting period. For results, please reflect on 1- ‘what’ and ‘how’ it was achieved,2- ‘who’ was it achieved for and with, and 3- ‘where’ was it achieved.Please refer to the attached guidance as needed. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words maximum)

The integrated biodiversity conservation project (SGP database project number: DJI/SGP/OP7/Y4/CORE/BD/2023/28 implemented in a coastal area of high ecological value combined ecotourism development, sustainable resource use, and mangrove ecosystem restoration. The project established an ecotourism camp and provided practical training to local communities in hospitality, guiding, and environmental awareness, helping them engage directly in the eco-value chain. Simultaneously, the project promoted the sustainable use of Prosopis juliflora, an invasive species, through training in charcoal production, seed collection, flour processing, and making eco-friendly cooking briquettes. This helped transform a local environmental challenge into an economic opportunity for the population. Women and youth were specifically targeted to enhance their technical skills and economic autonomy. In parallel, the project addressed land and marine ecosystem degradation by organizing mangrove seed collection campaigns, establishing nurseries, and conducting replanting activities in both terrestrial and marine areas. These restoration efforts were carried out in close collaboration with community associations and local fishermen, who actively participated in implementation and capacity-building activities. As a result, the project not only supported biodiversity conservation but also increased community resilience to climate change by restoring natural buffers and supporting sustainable livelihoods. All activities were conducted in a participatory manner, ensuring that the project was implemented with and for local populations. This initiative demonstrates how integrated, community-led approaches can effectively link environmental protection, economic empowerment, and climate resilience. By combining conservation and development objectives, the project has strengthened both ecological health and social well-being in a region facing multiple environmental pressures.

Q10

Biodiversity: Please provide information related to Protected Areas (PAs) that were positively influenced through SGP projects completed.

Number of PAs	**1**
Hectares of PAs	**4**
Name of PAs	**Mangrove de Douda**

Q11

Biodiversity: Please provide information related to Indigenous Peoples and Community Conserved Territories and Areas (ICCAs) that were positively influenced through SGP completed projects (please indicate “0” if not applicable)

Number of ICCAs	**0**
Hectares of ICCAs	**0**
Name of ICCAs	**0**

Q12

Biodiversity: Please indicate the number of sustainably produced biodiversity and agrobiodiversity products supported through SGP projects completed during the reporting period

Number of product types	**0**
Name of product types	**0**

Q13

Biodiversity: Please provide information on the number of significant species (for example, species referred to in IUCN Red List or other national list of threatened species, where applicable) with maintained or improved conservation status through SGP completed projects (please indicate “0” if not applicable).

Number of species	**0**
Name of species	**0**

Q14

Biodiversity: Please provide information on landscapes and seascapes that are under improved community conservation and sustainable use through projects completed in the reporting period.

Number of target landscapes/seascapes under improved community conservation and sustainable use	**0**
Hectares of target landscapes/seascapes under improved community conservation and sustainable use	**0**

Page 5: Results achieved by GEF-funded projects on Climate Change Mitigation

Q15

Climate Change Mitigation: Total number of GEF projects completed. (Enter 0 if none. Please do not include climate change adaptation projects, as they are not funded by GEF.)

Climate Change Mitigation, OP6	**0**
Climate Change Mitigation, OP7	**8**

Q16

Climate Change Mitigation: Please describe the results of one or two projects that were completed during the reporting period. For results, please reflect on 1- 'what' and 'how' it was achieved, 2- 'who' was it achieved for and with, and 3- 'where' was it achieved.Please refer to the attached guidance for details. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words maximum).

The solar kit installation project, implemented by the TOHA Association in the Ottow area (Tadjourah region), has significantly improved the living conditions of rural communities located in remote areas not served by the national electricity grid. Through the installation of solar kits for 80 households, along with comprehensive training on installation, maintenance, and repair, beneficiaries have gained lasting autonomy in managing these systems. This participatory project, carried out in close collaboration with local communities, also helped to strengthen local technical capacities. Access to clean and reliable energy has improved domestic comfort, enabled women to engage in income-generating artisanal activities, and provided children with a better environment for academic success. From a climate perspective, solar electrification has reduced reliance on fossil energy sources such as kerosene lamps and diesel generators, thereby contributing to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions in this vulnerable region. This project (DJI/SGP/OP7/Y4/CORE/CC/2023/19) illustrates how locally adapted solutions can address both development challenges and environmental protection.

The second project, also located in the Douloul area, consisted of constructing a well powered by solar pumps to ensure sustainable access to safe drinking water for vulnerable populations. This innovative system provides a constant and reliable water supply, even during periods of drought, significantly improving living conditions. The reduction in time spent collecting water—traditionally a task for women and children—now allows them to engage in productive and educational activities. The use of solar-powered pumps replaces conventional systems that rely on fossil fuels, thus contributing to climate change mitigation (DJI/SGP/OP7/Y4/CORE/CC/2023/22).

Q17

Climate Change Mitigation: Please indicate if your Country Programme completed any projects during the reporting period that addressed community-level barriers to deployment of low-GHG technologies

No

Q18

Climate Change Mitigation: Please indicate the number of completed projects for each type:

Renewable energy - number of completed projects	**2**
Energy efficiency - number of completed projects	**0**
Sustainable transport - number of completed projects	**0**
Conservation/enhancement of carbon stocks - number of completed projects	**0**

Q19

Climate Change Mitigation: Hectares of forests and non-forest lands with restoration and enhancement of carbon stocks through completed projects.

Hectares of forests and non-forest lands	**0**

Q20

Climate Change Mitigation: Typologies of community-oriented, locally adapted energy access solutions with successful demonstrations or scaling up and replication during the reporting period for completed projects. Examples of typologies include new/modified biogas technology, locally developed energy-efficient stoves, innovative uses of solar/wind energy (e.g., for desalination), etc.

Number of typologies	**2**
Name of typologies	**Solar kits and solar pumps**

Q21

Climate Change Mitigation: Number of households achieving energy access co-benefits (ecosystem effects, income, health, and others)

Number of households	**140**

Page 6: Results achieved by GEF-funded projects on Land Degradation

Q22

Land Degradation: Total number of GEF projects completed. (Enter 0 if none.)

Land degradation, OP6	**0**
Land degradation, OP7	**0**

Q23

Land Degradation: Please describe the results of one or two projects that were completed during the reporting period. For results, please reflect on 1- ‘what’ was achieved, 2- ‘how’ was it achieved, ‘who’ was it achieved for and with, and 3- ‘where’ was it achieved.Please refer to the attached guidance for details. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words maximum)

0

Q24

Land Degradation: Please indicate the total number of community members with improved actions or practices that reduce negative impacts on land uses through projects that were completed during the reporting period.

Number of community members with improved actions or practices that reduce negative impacts on land uses	**0**

Q25

Land Degradation: Please indicate the quantitative results under improved agricultural, land, or water management practices through projects that were completed during the reporting period

Number of community members improved agricultural, land, or water management practices	**0**
Number of hectares	**0**

Q26

Land degradation: Please provide the number of farmer leaders involved in successful demonstrations of agroecological practices (i.e., incorporating measures to reduce farm-based emissions and enhance resilience to climate change) through projects that were completed during the reporting period.

Number of farmer leaders	**0**

Q27

Land degradation: Number of farmer organizations, groups, or networks disseminating improved climate-smart agroecological practices through projects that were completed during the reporting period

Number of farmer organizations	**0**

Page 7: Results achieved by GEF-funded projects on Sustainable Forest Management

Q28

Sustainable Forest Management: Total number of GEF projects completed. (Enter 0 if none.)

Sustainable forest management, OP6	**0**
Sustainable forest management, OP7	**0**

Q29

Sustainable Forest Management: Please describe the results of one or two projects that were completed during the reporting period. For results, please reflect on 1- ‘what’ was achieved, 2- ‘how’ was it achieved, ‘who’ was it achieved for and with, and 3- ‘where’ was it achieved.Please refer to the attached guidance for details. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words maximum)

0

Q30

Sustainable Forest Management: Please indicate the hectares of forest and non-forest lands with restoration and enhancement through projects that were completed during the reporting period.

Hectares of forest and non-forest lands	**0**

Page 8: Results achieved by GEF-funded projects on International Waters

Q31

International Waters: Total number of GEF projects completed. (Enter 0 if none.)

International waters, OP6	**0**
International waters, OP7	**0**

Q32

International Waters: Please describe the results of one or two projects that were completed during the reporting period. For results, please reflect on 1- ‘what’ was achieved, 2- ‘how’ was it achieved, ‘who’ was it achieved for and with, and 3- ‘where’ was it achieved.Please refer to the attached guidance for details. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words maximum)

0

Q33

International Waters: Please provide information on transboundary waters (such as the Nile River, Niger River, Mediterranean Sea, etc.) or Strategic Action Programs (SAPs) for projects completed during the reporting period (if applicable).

Name of transboundary waterbody/SAP 1	**0**
Number of projects contributing to objectives of waterbody/SAP 1	**0**
Name of transboundary waterbody/SAP 2	**0**
Number of projects contributing to objectives of waterbody/SAP 2	**0**
Name of transboundary waterbody/SAP 3	**0**
Number of projects contributing to objectives of waterbody/SAP 3	**0**

Q34

International Waters: In addition to the above transboundary waters, please provide information on seascapes (marine parks, marine sanctuaries, gulfs, bays, etc.) and inland freshwater landscapes (lakes, rivers, underground waters, etc.) whose management was improved by SGP projects that were completed during the reporting period.

Name of seascapes/inland freshwater landscapes	**0**
Number of seascapes/inland freshwater landscapes	**0**

Q35

International Waters: Please provide quantitative results produced by the international waters portfolio of completed projects during the reporting period. (Enter only numbers; no letters, commas, spaces, periods or any other symbol.)

Tons of land-based pollution (such as solid waste, sewage, waste water, and agricultural waste etc.) avoided, reduced or prevented from entering the waterbodies	**0**
Hectares of marine/coastal areas or fishing grounds sustainably managed through projects' intervention (such as hectares of mangroves replanted, seagrass protected, coral reefs rehabilitated etc.)	**0**
Hectares of river/lake basins applying sustainable management practices through projects' intervention	**0**
Hectares of seascapes covered under improved community conservation and sustainable use management systems	**0**

Page 9: Results achieved by GEF-funded projects on Chemicals and Waste

Q36

Chemicals and Waste (including Mercury): Total number of GEF projects completed during the reporting period. (Enter 0 if none.)

OP6 (Total Chemicals and Waster projects including Mercury Management Projects)	**0**
OP7 (Total Chemicals and Waster projects including Mercury Management Projects)	**0**
Mercury: Number of mercury management projects completed, OP6	**0**
Mercury: Number of mercury management projects completed, OP7	**0**

Q37

Chemicals and Waste: Please describe the results of one or two projects that were completed during the reporting period. For results, please reflect on 1- 'what' was achieved, 2- 'how' was it achieved, 'who' was it achieved for and with, and 3- 'where' was it achieved.Please refer to the attached guidance for details. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words maximum)

0

Q38

Chemicals and Waste: Please provide quantitative results produced by the chemicals and waste portfolio of completed projects during the reporting period. (Enter only numbers; no letters, commas, spaces, periods or any other symbol.)

Kg of pesticides avoided, reduced, or prevented by SGP chemicals projects	**0**
Kg of solid waste prevented or reduced by chemicals projects (such as plastics, domestic waste, agricultural waste, etc.)	**0**
Kg of harmful chemicals avoided from utilization or release	**0**
Kg of e-waste collected or recycled	**0**
Kg of mercury avoided, reduced, or sustainably managed	**0**

Q39

Chemicals and Waste: What community-based tools/approaches were deployed or transferred to avoid or reduce chemicals through projects that were completed during the reporting period? (Choose all that apply)

Other (please specify):

0

Q40

Chemicals and Waste: Number of national coalitions/networks on chemicals and waste management established or strengthened through projects that were completed during the reporting period.

Number of national coalitions/networks	**0**

Page 10: Results achieved by GEF-funded projects on Capacity Development (stand-alone projects)

Q41

Capacity Development (stand-alone grant projects): Total number of GEF projects completed. (Enter 0 if none.)

Capacity development, OP6	**0**
Capacity development, OP7	**0**

Q42

Capacity Development (stand-alone grant projects): Please describe the results of up to three projects that were COMPLETED during the reporting period. For results, please reflect on 1- ‘what’ was achieved, 2- ‘how’ was it achieved, ‘who’ was it achieved for and with, and 3- ‘where’ was it achieved.Please refer to the attached guidance for details. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words maximum)

0

Q43

Capacity Development (stand-alone grant projects in the CD focal area): Please indicate the number of projects completed by type of project. (Enter number only)

Number of projects with knowledge fairs	**0**
Number of projects with stakeholder workshops	**0**
Number of projects supported monitoring and evaluation	**0**
Number of projects supported knowledge management	**0**
Other	**0**

Q44

Capacity Development (stand-alone grant projects in the CD focal area): Please indicate the number of the following whose capacities were developed or improved through projects that were completed during the reporting period (indicate “0” if not applicable)

Number of CSOs	**0**
Number of CBOs	**0**
Number of people whose capacities were improved	**0**

Page 11: Results from Grantmaker+

Q45

Regular Ongoing Capacity Development: In addition to the capacity development grants already mentioned above, please indicate which strategies/non-grant activities your country programme has used during the reporting year to develop the capacity of your key stakeholders. Check all that apply:

Organized training within project grants on specific technical issues

,

Organized training for SGP grantees on different subjects to improve project implementation

Q46

CSO-Government Dialogue Platform: Did you conduct any CSO-Government Dialogue activities during the reporting period? Please also include Indigenous Peoples-Government dialogues.

No,

If "Yes", please explain what activities were conducted and what the results were. Please also indicate if more than one dialogue was conducted and who were the key stakeholders. Kindly also indicate the SGP database project number, if any, is associated with it.:

0

Q47

CSO-Government Dialogue Platform: Please provide quantitative results produced during the reporting period. (Enter only numbers; Do not enter letters, commas, spaces, periods or any other symbol.)

Number of dialogue platforms initiated with CSO and/or CBO networks strengthened to manage such dialogues	**0**
Number of CSO/CBO representatives involved in the dialogues	**0**

Q48

South-South Exchange: Did you conduct or help facilitate any South–South exchanges between communities/NGOs/CSOs in your country and those in another country in the south during the reporting year? Note: Please report exchanges involving participants from different countries rather than within a single country.

No,

If "Yes", please explain the results of the exchange. Please also provide information on which countries participated, when the exchange took place, what the topic was, the results of the exchange, and how the exchange was funded. Kindly also indicate the SGP database project number, if any, is associated with it. :

0

Q49

South-South Exchange: Please provide number of South-South exchanges supported that transfer capacity on new community innovations between communities, CSOs, and other partners across countries.

Number of South-South exchanges	**0**

Page 12: Social Inclusion

Q50

Gender Mainstreaming: Please specify the number of GEF projects completed during the reporting period that included gender considerations (i.e., addressing different needs, skills, and opportunities of both men and women from project design through implementation and M&E). Please enter 0 if none.

Gender mainstreaming, OP6	**0**
Gender mainstreaming, OP7	**4**

Q51

Gender Mainstreaming: Which mechanisms did you use to ensure women's empowerment and gender equality during the reporting period? (Mark all that apply)

Incorporated gender specific activities, outputs, outcomes, and disaggregated indicators in project design.

,

Conduct gender mainstreaming training for grantees,

Have targets on the proportion of projects to focus on gender issues

Q52

Gender Mainstreaming: Please describe one or two projects that were completed during the reporting period that promoted gender equity and women's empowerment, and please explain the results (examples include behavior change, livelihood, natural resources management, etc). Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words maximum)

Two projects implemented in the Dikhil region have directly contributed to the empowerment of rural women and the promotion of gender equality through sustainable agriculture and improved access to water resources. The first project, implemented in Abaytou (DJI/SGP/OP7/Y4/CORE/CC/2023/22), involved the construction of a 40 m^3 water reservoir, the development of a one-hectare vegetable farming plot, and training sessions to strengthen women's agricultural skills. Although the water initially planned for irrigation was redirected to meet urgent community needs for drinking water, the project successfully enhanced women's technical capacities and highlighted their essential role in resource management. The second project, led by the Mabdahou association (DJI/SGP/OP7/Y4/CORE/CC/2023/20), supported 20 women farmers by providing agricultural kits, access to irrigation through a 50 m^3 water reservoir and a water distribution system, as well as practical training in vegetable production techniques. As a result, women successfully harvested crops such as melons and began selling their produce at the local market, generating stable income. This improved not only their economic situation but also strengthened their decision-making power within households and their communities. The introduction of solar-powered irrigation also ensured a more sustainable use of natural resources, reducing the reliance on fossil fuels. Both projects demonstrated clear behavioral change and economic empowerment, as women transitioned from traditional roles to active agents of local development, managing agricultural production and contributing to household food security. These initiatives underscore the importance of integrating gender considerations in community development and environmental sustainability projects, ensuring women are not just beneficiaries but leaders and stakeholders in long-term resilience.

Q53

Gender Mainstreaming: How many GEF projects completed were led by women (e.g., had a female project coordinator/manager, led by a woman cooperative or women group)?

Number of projects led by women	**0**

Q54

Indigenous Peoples: Please specify the number of GEF projects completed during the reporting period that included Indigenous Peoples. Please enter 0 if none.

Indigenous Peoples, OP6	**0**
Indigenous Peoples, OP7	**0**

Q55

Indigenous Peoples: Please describe one or two projects completed during the reporting period that benefited Indigenous Peoples and key results. (300 words maximum)

0

Q56

Indigenous Peoples: How did you encourage involvement of Indigenous Peoples' groups? (Mark all that apply)

Other (please specify):

0

Q57

Indigenous Peoples: Please provide the number of indigenous leaders with higher capacities for organizing Indigenous Peoples' projects that provide for concrete action to meet their needs as well as for strong representation in policy advocacy.

Number of indigenous leaders with higher capacities	**0**

Q58

Youth: Please specify the number of GEF projects completed during the reporting period that included youth. Please enter 0 if none.For the purpose of this survey, we are identifying youth as all those between 15-35 years of age.

Youth, OP6	**0**
Youth, OP7	**0**

Q59

Youth: Please describe one or two projects completed during the reporting period that included youth and their results (300 words)

0

Q60

Persons with Disability (PWD): Please describe any projects that were completed during the reporting period that have paid special attention to Persons with Disability (PWD). (300 words)

0

Q61

Youth and Persons with disability: Please provide quantitative results produced for completed GEF projects during the reporting period. (Enter only numbers; no letters, commas, spaces, periods, or any other symbol.)

Number of youth organizations that participated in SGP projects and relevant national environment and sustainable development strategy development	**0**
Number of disabled persons organizations that participated in SGP projects and relevant national environment and sustainable development strategy development	**0**

Page 13: Country Level Impact

Q62

Please report briefly on progress in implementation and country-level results achieved during the last year (highlighting planning, strategic developments, partnerships, portfolio-wide efforts/results which could not be captured earlier). Please enter 0 if none. (200 words)

0

Q63

Please provide the number of completed GEF projects that were replicated, scaled up, or influenced policy during the reporting period (July 1st, 2024 - June 30th, 2025).

Scaling up and Replication	**0**
Policy influence	**1**

Q64

Scaling up and Replication: Please give one or two specific examples of projects scaled up and/or replicated along with key results. Kindly include SGP database project numbers (300 words)

0

Q65

Policy Influence: Describe one or two SGP projects completed during the reporting period that influenced policies and how. Please describe specific examples and specify whether the policies influenced were at the national or local level. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words)

During the reporting period, completed GEF Small Grants Programme (SGP) projects in Djibouti have contributed to the advancement of national policy objectives aligned with Vision 2035, particularly in the domain of universal access to clean energy and rural electrification. The Government of Djibouti, through the Ministry of Energy in charge of Natural Resources (MERN), has committed under its Vision 2035 to becoming the first African country powered entirely by green energy by the year 2035. SGP-funded community-based projects have directly supported this ambition by piloting decentralized solar energy solutions in remote areas.

Q66

Improve livelihoods / Quality of life: Number of GEF projects completed during the reporting period that improved the livelihoods of communities

Number of projects improved livelihoods	**0**

Q67

Improve livelihoods/quality of life: Strategies that were used to improve livelihoods/quality of life by completed projects (Mark all that apply):

Increased access to markets,

Increased access to infrastructure,

Increased food security and nutritional value

Q68

Improve livelihoods / Quality of life: Did any of the projects completed during the reporting period use the following market-based initiatives or financial mechanisms to ensure the sustainability of the project and improve the livelihoods of communities? (Please select all the options that were used by different projects. See AMR Response Guide and FAQ for definitions.)

Other (please specify):

0

Q69

Please select the Sustainable Development Goals associated with the projects completed during the reporting period. If there are no projects completed during the reporting period, please select those with which your country programme's efforts align during the reporting period. (Mark all that apply)

Goal 1: End poverty in all its forms everywhere,

Goal 2: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture

,

Goal 5: Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls

,

Goal 6: Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all

,

Goal 7: Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all

,

Goal 13: Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts

,

Goal 14: Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development

,

Goal 17: Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the global partnership for sustainable development

Q70

Communications and Knowledge Products: Please indicate how many communications and knowledge products you have produced during the reporting period by category. Note: Please do not provide the number of copies printed for each publication, but the number of different knowledge products produced.

Number of fact sheets/case studies	**0**
Number of brochures	**0**
Number of publications	**1**
Number of videos/photo-stories	**6**
Number of SGP mentions in the media	**6**
Number of how-to toolkits or guidelines that describe specific practices	**0**

Q71

Communications and Knowledge Products: Please list these communications and knowledge products and include hyperlinks where possible

Le Programme de microfinancement du Fonds pour l'environnement mondial (PMF/FEM) à Djibouti : des initiatives concrètes en appui aux communautés locales pour faire face aux défis environnementaux | Les Nations Unies à Djibouti

https://www.facebook.com/INFOS.DJ/videos/1306924770212550/?fs=e&s=TIeQ9V&mibextid=wwXIfr&fs=e

https://www.youtube.com/watch?si=rhui0YhN_u9Hg174&v=tafaoeroztQ&feature=youtu.be

Le Programme de Microfinancement du Fonds pour l’Environnement Mondial à Djibouti

Q72

Communications and Knowledge Products: Please indicate how many peer-to-peer knowledge exchanges and training were carried out as part of the projects that were completed during the reporting year. (Please do not include the ones provided under stand-alone CD projects and South-South Exchange.)

Number of peer-to-peer exchanges conducted	**0**
Number of training conducted	**0**
Please specify topics of training/peer-to-peer exchanges	**0**

Q73

Communications and Knowledge Products: Please list any awards received during the reporting period and include links to press articles or announcements.

Name of Award 1	**Le Grand Prix du chef de l'Etat**
Month, Year	**08/04/2025**
Grantee organization or project leader that received the award	**ASSOCIATION MOUDAN**
Main Focal Area of project	**ADAPTATION**
Project number (as in database)	**DJI/SGP/OP7/Y4/CORE/CC/2023/23**
Link to press articles or announcements	**Djibouti : Célébration de la Journée Internationale de la Femme ce 8 Avril 2025 - Lafricaine**
Name of Award 2	**0**
Month, Year	**0**
Grantee organization or project leader that received the award	**0**
Main Focal Area of project	**0**
Project number (as in database)	**0**
Link to press articles or announcements	**0**
Name of Award 3	**0**
Month, Year	**0**
Grantee organization or project leader that received the award	**0**
Main Focal Area of project	**0**
Project number (as in database)	**0**
Link to press articles or announcements	**0**
Name of Award 4	**0**
Month, Year	**0**
Grantee organization or project leader that received the award	**0**
Main Focal Area of project	**0**
Project number (as in database)	**0**
Link to press articles or announcements	**0**

Page 14: SGP Effectiveness

Q74

Please rank the top three challenges encountered by your country programme during the reporting period (1 = most significant issue).

	Operational Challenges
1 First challenge	**Grantee issues**
2 Second challenge	**Remoteness/distances to projects**
3 Third challenge	**Financial management**

If "Other", please specify:
0

Q75

Following up on the previous question, please describe the biggest challenge identified above that was encountered by the country programme during the reporting period and give examples. What solutions, if any, were applied to address the challenges? (300 words)

0

Q76

Please rank the top three challenges encountered by the projects completed this year

	Operational Challenges
First challenge	**Leadership of grantee organization**
Second challenge	**M&E skills**
Third challenge	**Funding delays**

If "Other", please specify:
0

Q77

How many projects were terminated before completion during the reporting period? If none, please enter "0".

Number of projects terminated	**1**

Q78

Following up on the previous question, please explain the reason of project termination.

The grantee did not complete the activities planned with the first installment they have received.

Q79

Please identify any future risks that you foresee in achieving the results planned from the SGP portfolio in your country and indicate any mitigative measures that you are taking or would suggest. (300 words)

To prevent project termination and ensure grantees implement all agreed activities, SGP should reinforce what they are already doing which are provide clear guidance from the outset, maintain consistent communication, and support grantees through regular check-ins, practical tools, and capacity-building efforts. Recognizing progress, addressing delays collaboratively, and reinforcing accountability through staged follow-up helps build trust and keeps projects on track while fostering a culture of shared responsibility.

Q80

Please list any recommendations that you may have in improving the effectiveness of the SGP programme in your country. (200 words)

0

Page 15: SGP Effectiveness

Q81

Were there any evaluations of SGP conducted at the country level during the reporting period?

No,
If "Yes", by who?:
0

Q82

NSC: Please indicate if during the reporting period you had the following focal points in your NSC

	Please select
Gender Focal Point	**Yes**
Youth Focal Point	**Yes**
Indigenous Peoples focal point	**No**

Q83

NSC: This question is related to the National Steering Committee (NSC) meetings and participation. Please enter only numbers; no letters, commas, spaces, periods or any other symbol.

Number of NSC meetings occurred during the reporting period	**6**
Average number of NSC members that participated in each NSC meeting	**7**
Average number of days volunteered per NSC member during the reporting period	**0**
Number of NSC members that stepped down during the reporting period	**0**
Number of NSC members that were appointed during the reporting period	**0**
Average number of days needed to replace an NSC member	**0**

Q84

Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E): Please provide quantitative results below.

Number of M&E visits (i.e., total number of field trips) that were made during the reporting period:	**3**
Number of projects that were visited during the reporting period:	**16**

Q85

What percentage of the completed GEF projects delivered the intended results and were satisfactory?

60 - 80%

Q86

What was the average number of weeks between NSC project approval and MoA signature during the reporting period?

1 week,
If "More than 6 weeks", please provide the reason (100 words):
0

Q87

What was the main challenge encountered in the process of MoA signature during the reporting period?

Compilation of supporting documents

Page 16: Innovation

Q88

Innovation Programme: Were there projects implemented under any of the innovation programmes during the reporting period?

No

Q89

Innovation Programme: If "Yes" to the previous question, please select the innovation programme. Please check all that apply.

Respondent skipped this question

Q90

Innovation: How many of the completed GEF projects during the reporting period (July 1, 2024 - June 30, 2025) would you consider innovative?Guidance tip: Please note a project is considered innovative if there is any of the following: · New way of thinking: Did the intervention view the existing environmental problem in a new way? This would usually involve a new way of thinking about the issue, and conceptualization of a solution.· New way to connect: Did the project use available community resources in a new way? This would usually involve a new way of organizing these resources- which include community members, tangible and intangible assets, and leveraging traditional knowledge and norms.· Novel improvement: Did the intervention improve existing local solution in terms of its design, cost or performance, yielding same or higher impact? This would usually involve an improved feature for an existing product or service, and/ or an improved process or operation for an existing model of delivery. This can also include an improvement and/or creative application of technology, business model or financial solution from one sector to another.· Original creation: Did the intervention create something truly new? This would often involve expanding options for community members to promote global environmental benefits and livelihood alternatives. It may have a disruptive nature to existing solutions for a given problem, and will have a transformational characteristic through increased convenience, affordability or accessibility. This would usually involve a new product or service, and/ or a new model of delivery. This can also include a brand-new development of a technology, a never conceptualized business model or financing arrangement.· Powering local innovators: Did the intervention support a local champion(s) to pilot, test and improve on her/his conception, and result in strengthening of their capacities and influence in a given setting? This would usually involve supporting a community visionary or entrepreneurial venture addressing intersections of local environment and livelihood issues. This can also include expansion of community level agency for innovative action.

Number of projects considered innovative	**0**

Q91

Innovation: Please describe the results of one or two GEF projects completed during the reporting period that you consider innovative or implemented under innovation programmes. Please also share any feedback on the strength, challenges, and benefits of being part of the innovation programme. Kindly include SGP database project numbers. (300 words.)

0